A GENERAL INVERSION FORMULA FOR SUMMATORY ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS AND ITS APPLICATION TO THE SUMMATORY FUNCTION OF THE MÖBIUS FUNCTION¹

SERGEI PREOBRAZHENSKIĬ

Abstract. We prove an inversion formula for summatory arithmetic functions.

As an application, we obtain an arithmetic relationship between summatory Piltz divisor functions and a sum of the Möbius function over certain integers, denoted by M(x,y). With this relationship, using bounds for the main and remainder terms in the k-divisor problems we deduce conditional and unconditional results concerning M(x,y) and the zero-free region of the Riemann zeta-function and Dirichlet L-functions.

1. Introduction. Let $d_k(n) = \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_k = n} 1$, so $d(n) = d_2(n)$ is the number of divisors of n. The Möbius function is defined by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is not squarefree,} \\ (-1)^{\omega} & \text{if } n \text{ is squarefree and } n = p_1 \dots p_{\omega}, \end{cases}$$

and the summatory function M(x) (the Mertens function) by

$$M(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \mu(n).$$

Among important problems of analytic number theory are those of investigating the distribution of the summatory functions $\sum_{n \leq x} d_k(n)$ and M(x). In the case k = 2 the problem for $\sum_{n \leq x} d_k(n)$ is called the Dirichlet divisor problem and in the general case it is called the k-divisor problem or the Piltz problem. Both $\sum_{n \leq x} d_k(n)$ and M(x) are closely related to the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$.

For a positive integer n let $P^-(n)$ denote the smallest prime divisor of n and set $P^-(1) = \infty$. For $y \ge 2$ and integer $k \ge 1$ let

$$d'_{k}(n,y) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n_{1} \cdots n_{k} = n} 1 & \text{if } P^{-}(n) > y, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Define $d_1^*(n,y) = d_1'(n,y)$ and for integer $k \ge 2$

$$d_k^*(n,y) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{n_1 \cdots n_k = n \\ n_1, \cdots, n_k \notin \{1, n\} \\ 0}} 1 & \text{if } P^-(n) > y, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We also define the modified Mertens function

$$M(x,y) := \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\ P^-(n) > y \text{ or } P^-(n/2) > y}} \mu(n).$$

In this article we establish a direct arithmetic relationship between the summatory functions $\sum_{n \leq x} d_k^*(n, y)$ and M(x, y) by means of a general inversion formula for summatory functions.

 $^{^{1}2010}$ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M26, 11M20, 11M06; Secondary 11NXX. Key words and phrases. Summatory function, Moebius function, Dirichlet divisor problem, Riemann zeta function, zero-free region, Dirichlet L-function, Siegel-Landau zero.

Theorem 1 (Inversion Formula). Let

$$F(X) = \sum_{n \le X} \alpha(n) G\left(\frac{X}{n}\right).$$

Then we have

$$G(X) = \sum_{n \le X} \beta(n) F\left(\frac{X}{n}\right),$$

where

$$\beta(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha(1)} & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \frac{1}{\alpha(1)} & \left(-\frac{\alpha(n)}{\alpha(1)} + \sum_{\substack{n_1 n_2 = n \\ n_1, n_2 \notin \{1, n\}}} \frac{\alpha(n_1)\alpha(n_2)}{(-\alpha(1))^2} + \sum_{\substack{n_1 n_2 n_3 = n \\ n_1, n_2, n_3 \notin \{1, n\}}} \frac{\alpha(n_1)\alpha(n_2)\alpha(n_3)}{(-\alpha(1))^3} + \cdots \right) \\ & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$
 (2)

We apply this formula in the following way: Let

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leqslant x < 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \geqslant 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha(n,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P^{-}(n) > y, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The identity

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} \prod_{3 \le p \le y} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \zeta(s) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s}\right) \prod_{3 \le p \le y} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^s}$$

implies the identity for the summatory functions

$$U(X) = \sum_{n \le X} \alpha(n, y) M\left(\frac{X}{n}, y\right),\,$$

and by the inversion formula (Theorem 1) we get our basic arithmetic identity relating M(X, y) to the summatory divisor functions $\sum_{n \leq x} d_k^*(n, y)$:

$$M(X,y) = \sum_{n \leq X} \beta(n,y)U\left(\frac{X}{n}\right) = \beta(\lfloor X/2 \rfloor + 1, y) + \beta(\lfloor X/2 \rfloor + 2, y) + \dots + \beta(\lfloor X \rfloor, y), \quad (3)$$

where, with $\Omega(n)$ standing for the number of prime divisors of n counted according to multiplicity,

$$\beta(n,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega(n)} (-1)^k d_k^*(n,y).$$

Note that we can take $\frac{\log X}{\log y}$ as the limit of the summation over k, since $d_k^*(n,y) = 0$ if $k > \min\left(\Omega(n), \frac{\log X}{\log y}\right)$.

Let the generating Dirichlet series for the arithmetic function $\beta_l(n,y) = \sum_{k=1}^l (-1)^k d_k^*(n,y)$ be denoted by $F_{l,y}(s)$. Since for $d_k'(n,y)$ defined by (1)

$$d_k^*(n,y) = d_k'(n,y) - kd_{k-1}^*(n,y) - \binom{k}{2}d_{k-2}^*(n,y) - \dots - \binom{k}{k-1}d_1^*(n,y),$$

then $F_{l,y}(s)$ is represented as the linear combination of $\zeta^k(s) \prod_{p \leqslant y} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^k$ with $1 \leqslant k \leqslant l$. The coefficients can be found from the simultaneous equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \binom{2}{1} & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \binom{3}{2} & \binom{3}{1} & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \binom{k-1}{k-2} & \binom{k-1}{k-3} & \dots & \binom{k-1}{1} & 1 & 0 \\ \binom{k}{k-1} & \binom{k}{k-2} & \dots & \binom{k}{2} & \binom{k}{1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1^* \\ d_2^* \\ d_3^* \\ \vdots \\ d_{k-1}^* \\ d_k^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1' \\ d_2' \\ d_3' \\ \vdots \\ d_{k-1}' \\ d_k' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\Delta_{l,y}(x)$ denote the remainder term in the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{n \le x} \beta_l(n, y) = \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} F_{l,y}(s) \frac{x^s}{s} + \Delta_{l,y}(x).$$

Definition 1. Suppose that c > 0, $\alpha_1 > 0$ and α_2 are real, $x \ge 2$, $3 \le y(x) \le x$.

A number $l_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log y} \right\rfloor + 1$ is called **the level** for c, α_1 , α_2 , y, if we have the bounds

$$\left| \underset{s=1}{\text{Res}} F_{l_0,y}(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \right| \ll x e^{-c(\log x)^{\alpha_1} (\log_{(2)} x)^{\alpha_2}}$$
 (4)

and

$$|\Delta_{l_0,y}(x)| \ll xe^{-c(\log x)^{\alpha_1}(\log_{(2)}x)^{\alpha_2}}.$$
 (5)

That is, if l_0 is the level, then (3) implies that

$$|M(x,y)| \ll xe^{-c(\log x)^{\alpha_1}(\log_{(2)} x)^{\alpha_2}}$$

We remark that if we take

$$y = y_0 \approx \exp\left(c_0(\log x)^{2/5}(\log_{(2)} x)^{1/5}\right),$$

and if $l_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log y} \right\rfloor + 1$ were the level for some c > 0, $\alpha_1 = 3/5$, $\alpha_2 = -1/5$, then we would have the bound

$$M(x, y_0) = O\left(xe^{-c(\log x)^{3/5}(\log_{(2)} x)^{-1/5}}\right).$$
(6)

(The bound (5) can be proved using l_0 -th derivative of the generating Dirichlet series as in [Kou12], but the residue term in (4) is quite complicated.) From (6) one could infer, using methods of Pintz [Pi82, Pi84], the best known zero-free region, and then the best known error term in the prime number theorem:

Theorem 2. With the above assumptions, we have $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ in the region

$$\sigma > 1 - \frac{c}{(\log(|t|+3))^{2/3}(\log\log(|t|+3))^{1/3}},$$

and, in the prime number theorem,

$$\psi(x) = x + O\left(xe^{-c(\log x)^{3/5}(\log\log x)^{-1/5}}\right) \quad (x \geqslant 3)$$

(the constant c is not necessarily the same one in every place).

Remarkably, in the problem of bounding $M_{\chi}(x,y)$, the sum of the Möbius function twisted by a Dirichlet character, strong estimates for real non-principal characters χ (using $y=y_0$) could be obtained circumventing treatment of the Landau–Siegel zero, since there is no logarithmic derivative in the argument. (And there is no residue term (4) for nonprincipal characters $\chi \mod q$.)

The above fact allows us to prove the following result on the Landau-Siegel zero:

Theorem 3. Let χ be a real non-principal primitive character mod q. Suppose that $\chi(2) = 1$ and β_0 is the real zero of Dirichlet L-function $L(s,\chi)$. Then we have

$$\beta_0 \leqslant 1 - \frac{c}{\log q},$$

where the constant c > 0 is effectively computable.

This theorem is proved in Section 4. By considering modifications of the functions $M_{\chi}(x,y)$, namely, those corresponding to the identity

$$\frac{1}{L(s,\chi)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \prod_{2 \leqslant p \leqslant y} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1} L(s,\chi) \prod_{2 \leqslant p \leqslant y} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^s},$$

the theorem can be proved for $\chi(2) = -1$ and hence for all real non-principal primitive characters mod q.

2. Inversion formula: A simple numerical example. Here we illustrate usage of the inversion formula for a particular choice of the functions F, G and a small value of X.

The Dirichlet convolution of arithmetic functions f, g is defined by $f*g(n) = \sum_{ab=n} f(a)g(b)$.

Lemma 1 (Mertens).

$$\Delta(X) = \psi(X) - \lfloor X \rfloor = \sum_{n \le X} (\log n - d(n)) M\left(\frac{X}{n}\right).$$

Proof.

$$\Delta(X) = \psi(X) - \lfloor X \rfloor = \sum_{m \leqslant X} (\log - d) * \mu(m) = \sum_{m \leqslant X} \sum_{n \mid m} (\log n - d(n)) \mu\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \sum_{n \leqslant X} (\log n - d(n)) \sum_{h \leqslant \frac{X}{n}} \mu(h).$$

The following lemma is a consequence of Lemma 1 and the inversion formula (Theorem 1).

Lemma 2. We have

$$M(X) = \sum_{n \le X} b_n \Delta\left(\frac{X}{n}\right),$$

where

$$b_n = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (-1) \left(\log n - d(n) + \sum_{\substack{n_1 n_2 = n \\ n_1, n_2 \notin \{1, n\}}} (\log n_1 - d(n_1))(\log n_2 - d(n_2)) \\ + \sum_{\substack{n_1 n_2 n_3 = n \\ n_1, n_2, n_3 \notin \{1, n\}}} (\log n_1 - d(n_1))(\log n_2 - d(n_2))(\log n_3 - d(n_3)) + \cdots \right) & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Let X = 6. Then we have:

$$\Delta_{(1)}(X) = \psi(X) - \lfloor X \rfloor = 2\log 2 + \log 3 + \log 5 - 6, \qquad M_{(1)}(X) = M(X) = -1,$$

$$\Delta_{(2)}(X) = \psi\left(\frac{X}{2}\right) - \left\lfloor \frac{X}{2} \right\rfloor = \log 2 + \log 3 - 3, \qquad M_{(2)}(X) = M\left(\frac{X}{2}\right) = -1,$$

$$\Delta_{(3)}(X) = \psi\left(\frac{X}{3}\right) - \left\lfloor \frac{X}{3} \right\rfloor = \log 2 - 2, \qquad M_{(3)}(X) = M\left(\frac{X}{3}\right) = 0,$$

$$\Delta_{(4)}(X) = \psi\left(\frac{X}{4}\right) - \left\lfloor \frac{X}{4} \right\rfloor = -1, \qquad M_{(4)}(X) = M\left(\frac{X}{4}\right) = 1,$$

$$\Delta_{(5)}(X) = \psi\left(\frac{X}{5}\right) - \left\lfloor \frac{X}{5} \right\rfloor = -1, \qquad M_{(5)}(X) = M\left(\frac{X}{5}\right) = 1,$$

$$\Delta_{(\lfloor X \rfloor)}(X) = \psi\left(\frac{X}{\lfloor X \rfloor}\right) - \left\lfloor \frac{X}{\lfloor X \rfloor} \right\rfloor = -1, \qquad M_{(\lfloor X \rfloor)}(X) = M\left(\frac{X}{\lfloor X \rfloor}\right) = 1.$$

By Lemma 1, we can set up the nonsingular system of simultaneous linear equations with the upper triangular sparse matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{(1)} \\ \Delta_{(2)} \\ \Delta_{(3)} \\ \Delta_{(4)} \\ \Delta_{(5)} \\ \Delta_{(6)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \log 2 - 2 & \log 3 - 2 & 2 \log 2 - 3 & \log 5 - 2 & \log 2 + \log 3 - 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \log 2 - 2 & 0 & \log 3 - 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \log 2 - 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_{(1)} \\ M_{(2)} \\ M_{(3)} \\ M_{(4)} \\ M_{(5)} \\ M_{(6)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solving the system by Cramer's rule, as in the proof (see below) of the inversion formula (Theorem 1), we obtain

$$M_{(1)}(X) = M(X) =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1, & 2 - \log 2, & 2 - \log 3, & -(\log 2)^2 + 2 \log 2 - 1, & 2 - \log 5, & -2 \log 2 \log 3 + 3 \log 2 + 3 \log 3 - 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{(1)} \\ \Delta_{(2)} \\ \Delta_{(3)} \\ \Delta_{(4)} \\ \Delta_{(5)} \\ \Delta_{(6)} \end{pmatrix},$$

which coincides with the assertion of Lemma 2.

3. Proof of Inversion formula.

Theorem 1 (Inversion Formula). Let

$$F(X) = \sum_{n \leqslant X} \alpha(n)G\left(\frac{X}{n}\right). \tag{7}$$

Then we have

$$G(X) = \sum_{n \leqslant X} \beta(n) F\left(\frac{X}{n}\right),$$

where

$$\beta(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha(1)} & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \frac{1}{\alpha(1)} & \left(-\frac{\alpha(n)}{\alpha(1)} + \sum_{\substack{n_1 n_2 = n \\ n_1, n_2 \notin \{1, n\}}} \frac{\alpha(n_1)\alpha(n_2)}{(-\alpha(1))^2} + \sum_{\substack{n_1 n_2 n_3 = n \\ n_1, n_2, n_3 \notin \{1, n\}}} \frac{\alpha(n_1)\alpha(n_2)\alpha(n_3)}{(-\alpha(1))^3} + \cdots \right) \\ & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$
(8)

Proof. We use the following notation:

$$F_{(1)}(X) = F(X), \qquad G_{(1)}(X) = G(X),$$

$$F_{(2)}(X) = F\left(\frac{X}{2}\right), \qquad G_{(2)}(X) = G\left(\frac{X}{2}\right),$$

$$\cdots, \qquad \cdots,$$

$$F_{(\lfloor X \rfloor)}(X) = F\left(\frac{X}{\lfloor X \rfloor}\right), \quad G_{(\lfloor X \rfloor)}(X) = G\left(\frac{X}{\lfloor X \rfloor}\right).$$

By (7), we can set up the nonsingular system of simultaneous linear equations with the upper triangular sparse matrix A:

$$F_{(1)}(X) = \sum_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant X} \alpha(n) G_{(n)}(X),$$

$$F_{(2)}(X) = \sum_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant X/2} \alpha(n) G_{(2n)}(X),$$

$$\cdots,$$

$$F_{(\lfloor X \rfloor)}(X) = \sum_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant X/\lfloor X \rfloor} \alpha(n) G_{(\lfloor X \rfloor n)}(X).$$

Dividing every equation by $-\alpha(1)$ we obtain the new matrix A' with the new entries $\alpha'(n)$. By Cramer's rule,

$$G_{(1)}(X) = G(X) = \sum_{n \le X} \beta(n) F\left(\frac{X}{n}\right),$$

where

$$\beta(n) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \det A'_{n,1}}{(-\alpha(1)) \det A'}.$$

For $n \ge 3$ we multiply *i*-th row \overline{r}_i , starting from i = 2, of matrix $A'_{n,1}$ by

$$S_{i} = \left(\alpha'(i) + \sum_{\substack{n_{1}n_{2}=i\\n_{1},n_{2}\notin\{1,i\}}} \alpha'(n_{1})\alpha'(n_{2}) + \sum_{\substack{n_{1}n_{2}n_{3}=i\\n_{1},n_{2},n_{3}\notin\{1,i\}}} \alpha'(n_{1})\alpha'(n_{2})\alpha'(n_{3}) + \cdots\right),$$

and make the assignment $\overline{r}_i \leftarrow S_i \overline{r}_i + \overline{r}_{i-1}$ (for $2 \le i \le (n-1)$) to transform $A'_{n,1}$ to an upper triangular matrix. This yields formula (8) for $\beta(n)$.

4. Proof of Theorem 3.

Preliminary lemma. The following lemma is a modification of [Kou12, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 3. Let $M \ge 1$, $c \ge 1$, $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ an open set, and $s \in D$. Consider a function $F: D \to \mathbb{C}$ that is differentiable l times at s and its derivatives satisfy the bound $|F^{(j)}(s)| \le j!M^j$ for $1 \le j \le l$, and $|F(s)| \le c$. Then for an integer k, $1 \le k \le l$, we have

$$\left| \left(F^k(s) \right)^{(l)} \right| \leqslant l! (2c)^k (8M)^l.$$

Proof. We have the identity

$$(F^{k}(s))^{(l)} = l! \sum_{\substack{a_{1}+2a_{2}+\cdots=l\\a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots\leqslant k}} \frac{k!}{(k-a_{1}-a_{2}-\cdots)!a_{1}!a_{2}!\cdots} F^{k-a_{1}-a_{2}-\cdots}(s) \left(\frac{F'(s)}{1!}\right)^{a_{1}} \left(\frac{F''(s)}{2!}\right)^{a_{2}} \cdots$$

$$(9)$$

Using the inequality

$$\frac{(a+b)!}{a!b!} \leqslant 2^{a+b}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{k!}{(k-a_1-a_2-\cdots-a_l)!a_1!a_2!\cdots a_l!} \leqslant 2^k \frac{(a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_l)!}{a_1!a_2!\cdots a_l!}$$

and

$$\frac{(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_l)!}{a_1! a_2! \dots a_l!} \le 2^{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_l} \frac{(a_2 + a_3 + \dots + a_l)!}{a_2! a_3! \dots a_l!}$$

$$\le 2^{a_1 + 2(a_2 + \dots + a_l)} \frac{(a_3 + a_4 + \dots + a_l)!}{a_3! a_4! \dots a_l!}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\le 2^{a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + la_l}.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{a_1+2a_2+\dots=l} \frac{(a_1+a_2+\dots+a_l)!}{a_1!a_2!\dots a_l!} \leqslant 2^l \sum_{I\subset\{1,\dots,l\}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq I \\ a_i\geqslant 1 \ (i\in I)}} 1 \leqslant 2^l \sum_{I\subset\{1,\dots,l\}} \prod_{i\in I} \frac{l}{i}$$
$$= 2^l \prod_{i=1}^l \left(1+\frac{l}{i}\right) = 2^l \binom{2l}{l} \leqslant 8^l.$$

Now the lemma follows from the obtained inequalities and (9).

Proof of Theorem 3. Consider the case of χ an even character, that is, $\chi(-1) = 1$. Following Pintz [Pi82], define the entire function

$$g_{\chi}(s) := \frac{L(s-1,\chi)}{(s-1-\beta_0) \prod_{\nu=1}^{2} (s-1+2\nu)},$$

and let

$$\lambda := \log X - 2,$$

$$r_{\lambda}(H) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} e^{s^2/\lambda + Hs} g_{\chi}(s) \, ds.$$

Using formula

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{\chi}(x, y_0)}{x^s} dx = \frac{1}{(s-1)L(s-1, \chi)} \prod_{3 \leqslant p \leqslant y_0} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{s-1}}\right)^{-1},$$

where $\Re s = \sigma > 2$ and $y_0 \approx \exp\left(c(\log X)^{2/5}(\log_{(2)}X)^{1/5}\right)$, and interchanging the integrals, we

get

$$U := \int_{1}^{\infty} M_{\chi}(x, y_{0}) r_{\lambda}(\lambda - \log x) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} e^{s^{2}/\lambda + \lambda s} g_{\chi}(s) \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{\chi}(x, y_{0})}{x^{s}} dx ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} e^{s^{2}/\lambda + \lambda s} \frac{\prod_{3 \le p \le y_{0}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{s-1}}\right)^{-1}}{(s - 1 - \beta_{0})(s - 1) \prod_{\nu=1}^{2} (s - 1 + 2\nu)} ds.$$

Thus,

$$U = \underset{s=1+\beta_0}{\operatorname{Res}} e^{s^2/\lambda + \lambda s} \frac{\prod_{3 \leqslant p \leqslant y_0} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{s-1}}\right)^{-1}}{(s - 1 - \beta_0)(s - 1) \prod_{\nu=1}^2 (s - 1 + 2\nu)} + O\left(X^{1+\beta_0 - 1/\log y_0} (\log y_0)^c\right)$$

$$= \frac{e^{(1+\beta_0)^2/\lambda + (1+\beta_0)\lambda} \prod_{3 \leqslant p \leqslant y_0} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{\beta_0}}\right)^{-1}}{\beta_0 \prod_{\nu=1}^2 (\beta_0 + 2\nu)} + O\left(X^{1+\beta_0 - 1/\log y_0} (\log y_0)^c\right)$$

$$\gg \frac{X^{1+\beta_0}}{(\log y_0)^c}.$$

Now we derive an upper bound for |U|. First, if $H \leq -2$ ($H = \lambda - \log x = \log X - \log x - 2$), we integrate along the line $\sigma = \lambda$ instead of $\sigma = 3$:

$$|r_{\lambda}(H)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda - t^2/\lambda - |H|\lambda} \frac{2}{\lambda - 2} dt \leqslant e^{-\lambda(|H| - 1)},$$

and by the trivial estimate $|M_{\chi}(x,y_0)| \leq x$ we have for the part of the integral with $x \geq X$:

$$\left| \int_{X}^{\infty} M_{\chi}(x, y_0) r_{\lambda}(\lambda - \log x) dx \right| \leqslant \int_{e^{\lambda + 2}}^{\infty} x e^{-\lambda(\log x - \lambda - 1)} dx$$

$$= \frac{e^{\lambda^2 + \lambda} x^{2 - \lambda}}{2 - \lambda} \bigg|_{x=+\infty}^{x=+\infty} = \frac{e^{\lambda + 4}}{\lambda - 2} \ll X.$$

Next, we move the line of integration in $r_{\lambda}(H)$ to $\sigma = 0$. By the functional equation for Dirichlet L-functions and the Stirling formula we get

$$L(-1+it,\chi) \ll q^{3/2}|t|^{3/2},$$

hence for an arbitrary H

$$|r_{\lambda}(H)| \ll \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{q^{3/2}|t|^{3/2}}{|t|^3} dt \ll q^{3/2},$$

SO

$$|U| \ll q^{3/2} \int_{1}^{X} |M_{\chi}(x, y_0)| dx + O(X).$$

Combining the upper and the lower estimates, we obtain

$$\frac{X^{1+\beta_0}}{q^{3/2}(\log y_0)^c} \ll \int_{1}^{X} |M_{\chi}(x, y_0)| \, dx + O\left(\frac{X}{q^{3/2}}\right). \tag{10}$$

To derive an upper bound for $|M_{\chi}(x,y_0)|$ we use l_0 -th derivative of the function $F_{l_0,y_0}(s,\chi)$ and an upper bound for the summatory function

$$\sum_{n \le r} \beta_{l_0, \chi}(n, y_0) (-\log n)^{l_0}$$

with $y_0 \approx \exp\left(c_0(\log X)^{2/5}(\log_{(2)}X)^{1/5}\right)$ and $l_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{\log X}{\log y_0} \right\rfloor + 1$ (see discussion before Definition 1). By an argument similar to that of Koukoulopoulos [Kou12, Lemma 4.1 and Section 6], denoting by c a certain positive constant, not necessarily the same one in every place, for $y_0 \approx \exp\left(c_0(\log X)^{2/5}(\log_{(2)}X)^{1/5}\right)$, $l_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{\log X}{\log y_0} \right\rfloor + 1$, $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma > 1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$V_t = \exp\left((\log(3+|t|))^{2/3}(\log\log(3+|t|))^{1/3}\right),$$

 $q \simeq \exp\left(c(\log X)^{2/5}(\log_{(2)}X)^{1/5}\right)$, and χ a nonprincipal Dirichlet character mod q we have that

$$\left| L_{y_0}^{(j)}(s,\chi) \right| = \left| \left(L(s,\chi) \prod_{p \leqslant y_0} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right) \right)^{(j)} \right| \ll \frac{j! (c \log(y_0 q V_t))^{j+1}}{\log y_0},$$

and, using Lemma 3, for every $y \ge 2$

$$\sum_{n \leqslant y} \beta_{l_0,\chi}(n,y_0) (\log n)^{l_0} \log \frac{y}{n} = \frac{(-1)^{l_0}}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1+\frac{1}{\log y}} (F_{l_0,y_0}(s,\chi))^{(l_0)} \frac{y^s}{s^2} ds$$

$$\ll y \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (c_1 l_0 \log(y_0 q V_t))^{l_0} \frac{dt}{1+t^2}$$

$$\ll y (c_2 l_0^5 \log l_0)^{l_0/3} \tag{11}$$

for some $c_1, c_2 \geqslant 1$. For $X^c \ll x \ll X$ set

$$\Delta(x) = x \left(\frac{c_2 l_0^{5/3} (\log l_0)^{1/3}}{\log x} \right)^{l_0/2}$$

and note that $\Delta(x) \geqslant \sqrt{x}$, since $x \geqslant (\log x)^{l_0}/l_0^{l_0}$. We assert that

$$\sum_{1 \le n \le x} \beta_{l_0, \chi}(n, y_0) (\log n)^{l_0} \ll \Delta(x) (\log x)^{l_0 + 1}.$$
(12)

If $\Delta(x) > x$, then (12) is trivial. (We use the analog of (3) for the Dirichlet *L*-function and the trivial estimate $|M_{\chi}(x,y_0)| \leq x$.) So assume that $\Delta(x) < x$ and hence the ratio in $\Delta(x)$ is < 1. As in [Kou12], using (11) with y = x and $y = x + \Delta(x)$ and subtracting, we arrive at assertion (12). From (12) by partial summation it follows that

$$\sum_{1 < n \leqslant x} \beta_{l_0, \chi}(n, y_0) \ll 2^{l_0} \Delta(x) \log x \quad (x \geqslant 3).$$

$$\tag{13}$$

Because we have chosen $l_0 \simeq (\log X)^{3/5} (\log \log X)^{-1/5}$, and $X^c \ll x \ll X$ in (13), we obtain that

$$\sum_{n \le x} \beta_{l_0,\chi}(n, y_0) \ll x e^{-c(\log x)^{3/5} (\log \log x)^{-1/5}} \quad (x \ge 3)$$

and by the inversion formula, for $X^c \ll x \ll X$ we have

$$|M_\chi(x,y_0)| \ll xe^{-c(\log x)^{3/5}(\log_{(2)}x)^{-1/5}}$$

Thus, (10) (with $q \approx \exp\left(c(\log X)^{2/5}(\log_{(2)}X)^{1/5}\right)$ and $y_0 \approx \exp\left(c_0(\log X)^{2/5}(\log_{(2)}X)^{1/5}\right)$ implies that

 $\beta_0 \leqslant 1 - \frac{c}{\log a}$

and the constant c > 0 is effectively computable.

References

- [Kou12] D. KOUKOULOPOULOS, Pretentious multiplicative functions and the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions, arXiv:1203.0596v1 [math.NT] (2012).
- [Pi82] J. Pintz, Oscillatory properties of $M(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)$, I, Acta Arith., 42 (1982), 49–55.
- [Pi84] J. Pintz, On the remainder term of the prime number formula and the zeros of Riemann's zeta-function, Number Theory Nordwijkerhout 1983. Ed. by H. Jager, pp. 186–197, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 1068, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York–Tokyo, 1984.